arXiv:1410.1971v1 [math.GT] 8 Oct 2014

INTRINSIC 3-LINKEDNESS IS NOT PRESERVED BY Y∇ MOVES

D. O'DONNOL

Abstract. This paper introduces a number of new intrinsically 3-linked graphs through five new constructions. We then prove that intrinsic 3-linkedness is not preserved by Y∇ moves. We will see that the graph M, which is obtained through a Y∇ move on $(PG)^*_*(PG)$, is not intrinsically 3-linked.

1. Introduction

A graph, G, is *intrinsically knotted* if every embedding of G in $\mathbb{R}^3$ contains a nontrivial knot. A link L is *splittable* if there is an embedding of a 2-sphere F in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus L$ such that each component of $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus F$ contains at least one component of L. If L is not splittable it is called *non-split*. A graph, G, is *intrinsically linked* if every embedding of G in $\mathbb{R}^3$ contains a non-split link. A graph, G, is *minor minimal with respect to being intrinsically linked* (or simply *minor minimal intrinsically linked*) if G is intrinsically linked and no minor of G is intrinsically linked. The combined work of Conway and Gordon [3], Sachs [10], and Robertson, Seymour, and Thomas [8] fully characterizes intrinsically linked graphs. The graphs in the Petersen family, shown in Figure 1, are the complete set of minor minimal intrinsically linked graphs. So no minor of one of the graphs of the Petersen family is intrinsically linked, and every graph that is intrinsically linked contains one of these graphs as a minor. Let the set of the seven graphs of the Petersen family be denoted by $\mathcal{PF}$.

The concept of a graph being intrinsically linked can be generalized to a graph that intrinsically contains a link of more than two components. A graph G is *intrinsically n-linked* if every embedding of G in $\mathbb{R}^3$ contains a non-split n-component link. From here forward we will use *n-link* to mean a non-split n-component link. In this paper we focus on intrinsically 3-linked graphs. In Section 2, we discuss the set of known intrinsically 3-linked graphs and introduce our five new constructions. In Section 3, we prove that each of the new constructions results in an intrinsically 3-linked graph.

A *Y∇ move* on an abstract graph is where a valance 3 vertex, v, together with its adjacent edges are deleted, and three edges are added, one between each pair of vertices that had been adjacent to v. The reverse move is called a *∇Y move*. See Figure 6. In [10], Sachs showed that each graph in the Petersen family, i.e. all those graphs obtained from K_6 by Y∇ and ∇Y moves, is also minor minimal intrinsically linked. Motwani, Raghunathan, and Saran [7] showed that both intrinsic linkedness and intrinsic knottedness are preserved by ∇Y moves. Their proof that intrinsic linkedness is preserved by ∇Y moves immediately generalizes to show that intrinsic

Date: October 9, 2014.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M15, 57M25; Secondary 05C10.
Supported in part by a NSF-AWM Mathematics Mentoring Travel Grant.



FIGURE 1. This figure shows the graphs in the Petersen family, and the arrows indicate ∇Y moves.



FIGURE 2. The Y∇ move and ∇Y move.

n-linkedness is also preserved by ∇Y moves. Robertson, Seymour, and Thomas [8] showed that Y∇ moves also preserve intrinsic linkedness. On the other hand, Flapan and Naimi [5] showed that Y∇ moves do not preserve intrinsic knottedness. It is not known if intrinsic n-linkedness is preserved by Y∇ moves in general. The work in [8] showed that intrinsic 2-linkedness is preserved by Y∇ moves. While the family of minor minimal intrinsically linked graphs (also minor minimal intrinsically 2-linked graphs) is connected by Y∇ and ∇Y moves, the family of minor minimal

intrinsically 3-linked graphs is not [4]. It is also known that if the graph resulting from a Y∇ move on a minor minimal intrinsically n-linked graph is intrinsically n-linked, then it is minor minimal intrinsically n-linked [2]. In Section 6, we prove that intrinsic 3-linkedness is not preserved by Y∇ moves.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Dorothy Buck, Erica Flapan, Kouki Taniyama and R. Sean Bowman for helpful conversations and their continued support.

2. Intrinsically 3-linked graphs

There are a number of graphs already known to be intrinsically 3-linked. Figure 3 shows all those graphs that have been shown to be intrinsically 3-linked, where no minor is known to be intrinsically 3-linked (only $G(2)$ is known to be minor minimal intrinsically 3-linked). In [6], Flapan, Naimi, and Pommersheim investigate intrinsically 3-linked graphs (or *intrinsically triple linked* graphs). They proved that the complete graph on ten vertices, K_{10} is the smallest complete graph to be intrinsically 3-linked. Bowlin and Foisy [1] also looked at intrinsically 3-linked graphs. They exhibited two different subgraphs of K_{10} that are also intrinsically 3-linked, the graph resulting from removing two disjoint edges from K_{10}, call it $K_{10} - \{2 \text{ edges}\}$, and the graph obtained by removing four edges incident to a common vertex from K_{10}, call it K_{10}^*. So K_{10} is not minor minimal intrinsically 3-linked. They also described two constructions that give intrinsically 3-linked graphs, the first being the graph that results from identifying an edge of G_1 with an edge of G_2, when G_1 and G_2 are either K_7 or $K_{4,4}$; we will call this graph $G_1|G_2$. Since all of the edges of K_7 are equivalent as are those of $K_{4,4}$ this gives rise to three graphs. One of these graphs, $K_{4,4}|K_{4,4}$ (also called J), was previously shown to be intrinsically 3-linked in [4]. The second is the graph obtained by connecting two graphs $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{PF}$ by a 6-cycle where the vertices of the 6-cycle alternate between G_1 and G_2. We will call such a graph $(G_1 C G_2)_i$. The subscript i is given because there can be multiple ways to combine the same two graphs in this way. Not all of the vertices of each of the graphs of the Petersen family are equivalent, so there are many different ways to form the 6-cycle. Thus, there will be many more than $7 + \binom{7}{2} = 28$ such graphs that one might first expect from combining the seven different graphs of $\mathcal{PF}$ in this construction. Due to the large number of graphs of this form they are not drawn in Figure 3 but instead a pictorial representation of any such graph is shown. Flapan, Foisy, Naimi, and Pommersheim addressed the question of minor minimal intrinsically n-linked graphs in [4], where they constructed a family of minor minimal intrinsically n-linked graphs. The minor minimal intrinsically 3-linked graph they constructed was called $G(2)$, shown in Figure 3.

In Section 3, we prove that the following five constructions give rise to intrinsically 3-linked graphs: Let $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{PF}$ and let v_i be a vertex in the graph G_i. Let the set of adjacent vertices to the vertex v_i be A_i, for $i = 1, 2$.

Construction 1: Let the graph obtained by adding the edges between v_1 and all but one of the vertices of A_2 and the edges between v_2 and all but one of the vertices of A_1 to the graphs G_1 and G_2 be called $(G_1, v_1)^*_*(G_2, v_2)$. We call this the *double star construction*.



FIGURE 3. The set of of previously known intrinsically 3-linked graphs, for which no known minor is intrinsically 3-linked. (The graph $G(2)$ is known to be minor minimal.)

Construction 2: Let the graph obtained by identifying the vertices v_1 and v_2, adding a vertex x and the edges from x to all but one of the vertices in the set A_1 and all but one of the vertices in the set A_2 be called $(G_1, v_1)^*_x(G_2, v_2)$.

Construction 3: The graph $K^-_{4,4}$ has two vertices of valence three, label them x and y. Let G be one of the graphs of the Petersen family, let v be one of the vertices of G, and let A be the set of vertices adjacent to v in G. Let the graph obtained by identifying the two vertices x and v, and adding edges between y and all but one of the vertices in A be called $K_{4,4}(G, v)$.

Construction 4: Let the *vertex identification construction* be the construction where a graph H is formed by adding edges between the sets of vertices A_1 and A_2, such that between every pair of vertices of A_1 and pair of vertices of A_2 there is at least one edge joining a vertex from A_1 to a vertex from A_2 and then identifying the vertices v_1 and v_2 to get a single vertex x. Let the set of added edges between A_1 and A_2 be $E_{n,m}$, where $|A_1| = n$ and $|A_2| = m$.

Construction 5: Let V_i be the full vertex set of G_i. Let $(G_1)\overset{\equiv}{=}(G_2)$ be the graph obtained by adding five disjoint edges between V_1 and V_2 to the graphs G_1 and G_2.

The vertex is dropped from the notation for Constructions 1, 2 and 3, if the vertices of the graph G_1 or G_2 are equivalent. These constructions introduce numerous new intrinsically 3-linked graphs. For example, the graphs $(K_6)^*_*(K_6)$, $(K_6)^*_x(K_6)$ are both subgraphs of $K_7|K_7$. See Figure 4. So these two graphs together with all of the graphs that can be obtained from them by ∇Y moves were previously unknown to be intrinsically 3-linked. Similarly, $K_{4,4}(K_6)$ is a subgraph of $K_7|K_{4,4}$. So the graph $K_{4,4}(K_6)$ introduces a set of new intrinsically 3-linked graphs.

3. New intrinsically 3-linked graphs

In this section we prove that the five new constructions explained in Section 2 give intrinsically 3-linked graphs. These constructions exploit some nice properties of the graphs in the Petersen family.

Observation 1. For each $G \in \mathcal{PF}$ every pair of disjoint cycles contains all of the vertices of G.

Thus every embedding of a graph from the Petersen family in $\mathbb{R}^3$ not only contains a 2-link but contains a 2-link which contains all of the vertices of the graph. It is known that, for any $G \in \mathcal{PF}$, every embedding of G in $\mathbb{R}^3$ contains a two component link with odd linking number [3, 10]. So we will work with linking mod (2) and denote the mod (2) linking number of two simple closed curves L and J by $\omega(L, J)$.



FIGURE 4. The graphs (a) $(K_6)^*_*(K_6)$, (b) $(K_6)^*_x(K_6)$, and (c) $K_{4,4}(K_6)$.

We will use the following lemma, proved in [1], to prove that Construction 1 of the previous section gives rise to an intrinsically 3-linked graph.

Lemma 1. *In an embedded graph with mutually disjoint simple closed curves, C_1, C_2, C_3, and C_4, and two disjoint paths x_1 and x_2, such that x_1 and x_2 begin in C_2 and end in C_3, if $\omega(C_1, C_2) = \omega(C_3, C_4) = 1$ then the embedded graph contains a non-splittable 3-component link.*

Proposition 1. *Let $(G_1, v_1)^*_*(G_2, v_2)$ be a graph obtained via Construction 1. Then $(G_1, v_1)^*_*(G_2, v_2)$ is intrinsically 3-linked.*

Proof. Fix an arbitrary embedding of $(G_1, v_1)^*_*(G_2, v_2)$. Since G_1 is a graph in the Petersen family we know it must contain a 2-link and that v_1 must be in one of the components of the 2-link. Let the component that contains v_1 be called C_2 and the other component be called C_1. Note that $\omega(C_1, C_2) = 1$. Similarly, G_2 must contain a 2-link and that v_2 must be in one of the components of the 2-link. Let the component that contains v_2 be called C_3 and the other component be called C_4, note that $\omega(C_3, C_4) = 1$. Since v_1 is in C_2 two of the vertices adjacent to v_1 are also in C_2. At least one of these vertices must be adjacent to v_2, call it a. Note, the edge $\overline{v_1 a}$ goes between C_2 and C_3. Next, since v_2 is in C_3 two of the vertices adjacent to v_2 are also in C_3 and at least one of them is adjacent to v_1, call it b. The edge $\overline{bv_2}$ also goes between C_2 and C_3. Notice that $\overline{v_1 a}$ and $\overline{bv_2}$ cannot be the same edges by construction. Thus by Lemma 1 we see that the chosen embedding contains a 3-link, and so $(G_1, v_1)^*_*(G_2, v_2)$ is intrinsically 3-linked. □

To prove Proposition 2, we will use the following lemma which appears in [4]:

Lemma 2. *Suppose that G is a graph embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and contains the simple closed curves C_1, C_2, C_3, and C_4. Suppose that C_1 and C_4 are disjoint from each other and both are disjoint from C_2 and C_3, and that C_2 and C_3 intersect in precisely one vertex x. Also, suppose there are vertices $u \neq x$ in C_2 and $v \neq x$ in C_3 and a path P in G with endpoints u and v whose interior is disjoint from each C_i. If $\omega(C_1, C_2) = \omega(C_3, C_4) = 1$, then there is a non-splittable 3-component link in G.*

Proposition 2. *Let $(G_1, v_1)^*_x(G_2, v_2)$ be a graph obtained via Construction 2, then $(G_1, v_1)^*_x(G_2, v_2)$ is intrinsically 3-linked.*

Proof. Let A_i be the sets vertices and x be the vertex as described in Construction 2, in the previous section. Fix an arbitrary embedding of $(G_1, v_1)^*_x(G_2, v_2)$. Since G_1 is in the Petersen family we know it must contain a 2-link and that v_1 must be in one of the components of the 2-link. Let the component that contains v_1 be called C_2 and the other component be called C_1; note that $\omega(C_1, C_2) = 1$. Similarly, G_2 must contain a 2-link and that v_2 must be in one of the components of the 2-link. Let the component that contains v_2 be called C_3 and the other component be called C_4; note that $\omega(C_3, C_4) = 1$. Since v_1 is in C_2 there are two vertices in A_1 that are also in C_2 and at least one of them is adjacent to x. Call it a_i. Similarly, since v_2 is in C_3 there are two vertices of A_2 that are also in C_3 and at least one of them is adjacent to x. Call it b_i. Let the path consisting of the two edges $\overline{a_i x}$ and $\overline{x b_i}$ be called P. The path P goes from C_2 to C_3. So by Lemma 2 we see that the embedding contains a 3-link. Thus $(G_1, v_1)^*_x(G_2, v_2)$ is intrinsically 3-linked. □

The graph $K_{4,4}(K_6)$ is shown in Figure 4. We will use the following lemmas in the proof of the next proposition about Construction 3. See Section 2 for the constructions.

Lemma 3. [10] *Let $K_{4,4}$ be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$, then every edge of $K_{4,4}$ is in a component of a 2-link.*

Lemma 4. [6] *Suppose that G is a graph embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$ that contains the simple closed curves C_1, C_2, C_3, and C_4. Suppose that C_1 and C_4 are disjoint from*

each other and both are disjoint from C_2 and C_3, and that $C_2 \cap C_3$ is an arc. If $\omega(C_1, C_2) = 1$ and $\omega(C_3, C_4) = 1$, then there is a non-split 3-component link in G.

Proposition 3. *Let $K_{4,4}(G, v)$ be a graph obtained via Construction 3, then $K_{4,4}(G, v)$ is intrinsically 3-linked.*

Proof. Let x, y, and A be as described in Construction 3. Fix an arbitrary embedding of $K_{4,4}(G, v)$. Since G is one of the graphs from the Petersen family it contains a 2-link $C_1 \cup C_2$ which contains the vertex $v = x$, without loss of generality let x be in C_2. Since x is in C_2 two of the vertices adjacent to x, are also in C_2. So at least one of these vertices in C_2 is adjacent to y, call the vertex a. Label the path P, that is comprised of the two edges $\overline{xa}$ and $\overline{ay}$. Notice $\overline{xa} \in C_2$ and $\overline{ay} \notin C_1 \cup C_2$. Now the subgraph $K^-_{4,4}$ together with the path P form a subdivision of $K_{4,4}$, i.e. this can be viewed as $K_{4,4}$ where P is one if the edges. By Lemma 3 for every embedding of $K_{4,4}$ each edge is contained in a 2-link, so P is contained in a 2-link $C_3 \cup C_4$. Without loss of generality, let P be an edge of C_3. So $C_2 \cap C_3 = \overline{xa}$ is an arc, $\omega(C_1, C_2) = 1$ and $\omega(C_3, C_4) = 1$. Thus by Lemma 4 the embedding of $K_{4,4}(G, v)$ contains a 3-link. □



FIGURE 5. Let $|A_1| = n$ and $|A_2| = m$. This figure shows possible sets of added edges $E_{n,m}$ for the vertex identification constructions for all different possible sizes of the vertex set A_1 and A_2. For each of them the vertex sets A_1 and A_2 are shown vertically and additional edges $E_{n,m}$ are shown.

Recall Construction 4, the vertex identification construction, where a set of edges $E_{n,m}$ is added between the two sets of vertices A_1 and A_2. For the full definition refer to Section 2. The graphs in the Petersen family have vertices of valence 3,

4, 5, and 6. Let $|A_1| = n$ and $|A_2| = m$. We want to construct $E_{n,m}$, a set of edges between A_1 and A_2 such that given any pair of vertices from A_1 and any pair of vertices from A_2 there is an edge between two of the vertices from the chosen pair. So each pair of vertices from A_1 must be connected to $m-1$ vertices from A_2. To reduce the total number of edges needed we divide the edges evenly between the two vertices, so each vertex of A_1 is connected to $\frac{m-1}{2}$ vertices of A_2. Suppose $m \geq n$, this gives a lower bound of $|E_{n,m}| = (\frac{m-1}{2})n$, if m is odd, and $|E_{n,m}| = (\frac{m}{2})(n-1) + \frac{m-2}{2}$ if m is even. Figure 5 shows possible sets of edges $E_{n,m}$ to be added between A_1 and A_2 for all possible combinations of valence. It can be checked that there sets of vertices satisfy the criterion. However these are not the only possible $E_{n,m}$ sets, and it is not known if they are optimal. In the case of $n = m = 3$ then $|E_{3,3}| = 3$ is the lower bound but in all other examples given $|E_{n,m}|$ is greater than the lower bound obtained.

Proposition 4. *Any graph H constructed through the vertex identification construction of graphs G_1 and G_2 in the Petersen family is intrinsically 3-linked.*

Proof. Consider an arbitrary embedding of H. Let the notation be as in construction 4: the identified vertex is labelled x, the sets of vertices adjacent to x in the subgraphs G_1 and G_2, respectively, are labelled A_1 and A_2, and the set of edges between A_1 and A_2 is $E_{n,m}$. Since every vertex of a Petersen graph is contained in every link in the embedding, x is in one of the components of the link in each G_i. Let the components of the link in G_1 be labelled C_1 and C_2, with the vertex x in the component C_2, and let the components of the link in G_2 be labelled C_3 and C_4, with the vertex x in the component C_3. A pair of vertices from the set V_1 is also part of C_2 and, similarly, a pair of vertices from the set V_2 is also part of C_3. By construction, there is an edge, e, of the set $E_{n,m}$ between C_2 and C_3. Since none of the edges of $E_{n,m}$ are contained in G_1 or G_2, the interior of the edge e is disjoint from the links $C_1 \cup C_2$ and $C_3 \cup C_4$. Thus, by Lemma 2, H contains a 3-link. □

Proposition 5. *The graph $(G_1)\overset{\equiv}{=}(G_2)$ obtained by Construction 5, is intrinsically 3-linked.*

Proof. Let V_i be the vertex set of G_i, let the set of five added edges be E. Fix an embedding of $(G_1)\overset{\equiv}{=}(G_2)$. Since $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{PF}$, G_1 contains a 2-link that contains all of the vertices of V_1, call the link $C_1 \cup C_2$, and G_2 contains a 2-link that contains all of the vertices of V_2, call the link $C_3 \cup C_4$. Because all of the vertices are in one of the 2-links each edge of E will go between components of the different 2-links. There are four different pairs of components that can be connected by the said edges, so by the pigeonhole principle two of the edges must go between the same pair of components. By Lemma 1 the embedding contains a 3-link. Thus $(G_1)\overset{\equiv}{=}(G_2)$ is intrinsically 3-linked. □

4. Intrinsic 3-linkedness is not preserved by Y∇ moves

In this section, we show that intrinsic 3-linkedness is not preserved by Y∇ moves.

Theorem 1. *Intrinsic 3-linkedness is not preserved by Y∇ moves.*

Proof. We begin with $(PG)^*_*(PG)$, since all of the vertices of PG are equivalent there is a single graph that can be obtained throughout the double star construction with two Petersen graphs. By Proposition 1, $(PG)^*_*(PG)$ is intrinsically 3-linked.



FIGURE 6. The graph M is obtained from $(PG)^*_*(PG)$ by a Y∇ move on the indicated bold edges.



FIGURE 7. The spatial graph $f(M)$. An embedding of M that does not contain a 3-link.

Let the graph obtained by a Y∇ move on $(PG)^*_*(PG)$ as indicated in Figure 6 be called M.

We claim that, the graph M is not intrinsically 3-linked. Consider the embedding $f(M)$ shown in Figure 7. Let the vertices be labelled as indicated. Let K_1 be the embedded subgraph defined by the vertices 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and the edges between them in $f(M)$, and let K_2 be the embedded subgraph defined by the vertices $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, j, k$ and the edges between them. For $f(M)$ to contain a 3-link, the 3-link must be in both the embedded subgraphs K_1 and K_2, since neither contains three disjoint simple closed curves on their own. Since K_1 and K_2 are disjoint and there is no linking between them, two of the edges joining the subgraphs must also be in the 3-link. The subgraph K_1 contains a single linked pair

of cycles, indicated with thickened edges. Similarly, in K_2 there is a single linked pair of cycles, indicated with thickened edges. All other cycles in the subgraphs K_1 and K_2 bound disks that do not intersect the graph in their interiors. No pair of edges between the two subgraphs K_1 and K_2 connects two of the linked cycles. So there is no 3-link in $f(M)$. □

Notice that there are many graphs that can be constructed with the double star construction that are a Y∇ move away from a graph that is not intrinsically 3-linked. Consider $(PG)^*_*G$ for any $G \in \mathcal{PF}$, a similar Y∇ move to that is the proof above will produce a graph that is not intrinsically 3-linked. More generally, this can be done with any double star construction where the vertex of A_i that is not connected to the vertex v_j is trivalent.

References

[1] G. Bowlin and J. Foisy, *Some new intrinsically 3-linked graphs*, J. of Knot Theory Ramifications **13**(8) (2004), 1021–1027.

[2] A. Brouwer, R. Davis, A. Larkin, D. Studenmund, C. Tucker, *Intrinsically S^1 3-linked graphs and other aspects of S^1 embeddings*, Rose-Hulman Undergrad. Math. J. **8** (2007).

[3] J. Conway and C. Gordan, *Knots and links in spatial graphs*, J. of Graph Theory **7** (1983), 445–453.

[4] E. Flapan, J. Foisy, R. Naimi, and J. Pommersheim, *Intrinsically n-linked graphs*, J. of Knot Theory Ramifications **10**(8) (2001), 1143–1154.

[5] E. Flapan, and R. Naimi, *The Y-triangle move does not preserve intrinsic knottedness*, Osaka J. Math. **45** (2008) 107-111.

[6] E. Flapan, R. Naimi, and J. Pommersheim, *Intrinsically triple linked complete graphs*, Topol. Appl. **115** (2001), 239–246.

[7] R. Motwani, A. Raghunathan and H. Saran, *Constructive results for graph minors: Linkless embeddings*, 29th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, IEEE (1988), 398–409.

[8] N. Robertson, P. Seymour, and R. Thomas, *Sachs' linkless embedding conjecture*, J. of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **64** (1995), 185–227.

[9] H. Sachs, *On a spatial analogue of Kuratowski's Theorem on planar graphs – an open problem*, Graph Theory, Lagów, 1981, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1018 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1983), 649–662.

[10] H. Sachs, *On spatial representations of finite graphs*, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, Vol. 37 (North-Holland, Budapest, 1984), 649–662.

Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA
E-mail address: odonnol@okstate.edu